IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

SETH AMODIO,

v.

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:07cv173 (Judge Keeley)

WAYNE A. PHILIPS, Warden,

Respondent.

**ROSEBORO NOTICE** 

On January 29, 2008, the respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss the petitioner's petition for writ

of habeas corpus. The Court notes that the petitioner is proceeding pro se. The Court has a mandatory

duty to advise the petitioner of his right to file responsive material, and to alert him to the fact that his

failure to so respond might result in the entry of an order of dismissal against him. Davis v. Zahradrich,

600 F.2d 458, 460 (4th Cir. 1979); Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F2d 309, 310 (4th Cir. 1975). The petitioner

is so advised.

Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Order, the petitioner shall file any opposition explaining

why his case should not be dismissed. The petitioner is advised that he must serve the respondent with

any response he files.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to the pro se petitioner by certified

mail, return receipt requested, to his last known address as shown on the docket sheet. The Clerk of the

Court is further directed to provide a copy of this Order to all counsel of record, as applicable, as provided

in the Administrative Procedures for Electronic Filing in the United States District Court.

DATED: January 30, 2008

/s/ James E. Seibert JAMES E. SEIBERT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE